The Neutron Is in Another Dimension

You are a creature of 8D architecture

Eric McLean · Scotland · April 2026

ORCID: 0009-0009-6175-4408 · eric@tcel.com
Pentagon Physics · pentagonphysics.com

The mathematical fact

The E_8 root system contains 240 vectors in $\mathbb{R}^8$. Under the H_4 (icosahedral) subgroup, these decompose into two orbits of 120, each forming the vertex set of a 600-cell. The two 600-cells lie in orthogonal four-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^8$.

This is a theorem of Lie algebra. Proved by Conway and Sloane. Proved independently by Koca, Koc, and Al-Barwani. Not disputed.

What it means physically

The proton is a standing wave on one 600-cell. It spans four dimensions.

The neutron is a standing wave on the other 600-cell. It spans four perpendicular dimensions.

They are in perpendicular four-dimensional subspaces. Pentagon Physics does not recognise 5, 6, or 7 dimensions. They cannot and do not exist. There is no 5th dimension. There are two sets of four. One contains the proton. The other contains the neutron.

The only structure that touches both is the D_4 bridge: the 24 shared vertices that exist in both subspaces simultaneously. That bridge is the nuclear force. The pion is the bridge vibrating.

Why they appear to coexist

Both 600-cells project onto the same three-dimensional space we observe. Both cast 3D shadows that overlap. The proton's shadow and the neutron's shadow sit in the same nucleus, apparently side by side. They are not side by side. They are perpendicular.

The consequences

The strong force works through the D_4 bridge because it is the only communication channel between perpendicular dimensions. Nuclear binding is two perpendicular standing waves coupled through 24 shared vertices.

Isospin symmetry exists because the two 4D subspaces are identical as abstract geometry. Same 600-cell, same eigenvalues, same everything. They differ only by orientation.

The neutron-proton mass difference (1.293 MeV) is the Galois conjugation energy between the two orientations: $2\phi \times m_e$. The cost of being perpendicular rather than parallel.

Beta decay (neutron → proton) is a state transferring from one 4D subspace into the perpendicular 4D subspace through the D_4 bridge plus the Galois boundary. Two boundary crossings. Cost α^2. This is why beta decay is slow.

Independent shell filling exists because protons and neutrons occupy orthogonal subspaces. A proton at shell $d = 3$ ($Z = 20$) does not occupy a neutron state. Their shell closures are independent. This is why magic numbers are counted separately.

The implication for matter

Every atom in a human body is an 8D structure. Two 4D standing waves in perpendicular subspaces, bridged by 24 shared vertices, projecting overlapping shadows into 3D.

A hand is not a 3D object. It is approximately 10^{28} overlapping shadows of perpendicular 4D vibrations, held together by a bridge between dimensions, casting a collective 3D image experienced as solid matter.

Solidity is the electromagnetic repulsion between electron boundary modes. The electrons are standing waves at the Galois boundary. Touching something is touching the boundary of a projection of a vibration in dimensions you cannot see directly.

How to test it

Independent shell filling: Already confirmed. The nuclear shell model has always counted proton and neutron magic numbers separately. PP explains why: orthogonal subspaces.

Neutron-proton mass difference: PP predicts $2\phi \times m_e - \alpha m_p \sigma/12 = 1.301$ MeV (measured: 1.293 MeV, 0.59%). If higher-precision measurements converge toward the PP formula, the orientational origin is supported.

Isospin violation pattern: If protons and neutrons are in orthogonal subspaces connected by 24 bridge vertices, isospin-violating effects should scale with the bridge fraction (24/120 = 1/5) and the Galois conjugation cost α^2. Testable against lattice QCD results.

Calcium-40 vs Calcium-48 charge radii: Both doubly magic. Charge radii identical to 0.01% despite 8 extra neutrons. PP predicts this because the 8 extra neutrons fill states in a separate 600-cell (perpendicular subspace). They cannot expand the proton shell. Confirmed by Hagen et al. (2016).

What stops anyone from saying this

The mathematics is accepted. "Orthogonal four-dimensional subspaces" passes peer review. "The neutron is in another dimension from the proton" does not. They mean the same thing.

The papers say "two copies lying in orthogonal subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^8$." Technically correct. Completely opaque. A reader processes "orthogonal subspaces" as mathematical structure and moves on. Nobody stops to say: *so the neutron is not in the same place as the proton. It is perpendicular. It is in another dimension.*

The language is what stops people. The content has been on paper since *The Atom Is E_8* was published. The plain English version has never appeared. Until now.

Does physics consider humans 3D or 4D?

Standard physics says 4D. A worldline through Minkowski spacetime. A worm extending from birth to death along the time axis. The block universe.

Pentagon Physics says something different. You are not a 4D worldline. You are a collection of 4D standing waves, each committing to one coordinate as its oscillation axis. You do not extend along time. You oscillate. Time is the axis your standing wave commits to. You are not passing through time. You are vibrating in it.

And even that understates it. You are 8D. The E_8 structure of every atom spans eight dimensions. Two perpendicular 4D subspaces per atom. You see three spatial coordinates. The fourth is your committed oscillation axis. The other four are the neutron's perpendicular 4D subspace. You cannot see them. They are real. You weigh them. They show up in your mass. They are the neutron half of you.

You are an 8D structure experiencing itself as a 3D object because you are observing from inside a committed standing wave that can only see three of its own coordinates. The others are real. You weigh them. They are you.

The proton is a standing wave in four dimensions. The neutron is a standing wave in four perpendicular dimensions. They are not next to each other. They are orthogonal. The only structure that connects them is the 24-vertex bridge. That bridge is what you call the nuclear force.

That paragraph would do more for public understanding of nuclear physics than every Feynman diagram ever drawn.

References

Conway, J.H. and Sloane, N.J.A. (1999). *Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups*, 3rd ed. Springer.

Koca, M., Koc, R., and Al-Barwani, M. (2001). Noncrystallographic Coxeter group H_4 in E_8. *J. Phys. A* 34, 11201.

McLean, E. (2026). The Atom Is E_8. Zenodo. doi:10.5281/zenodo.19581839

McLean, E. (2026). Inside the Resonant Cavity. Zenodo. doi:10.5281/zenodo.19605364

McLean, E. (2026). The Lattice Between. Zenodo. doi:10.5281/zenodo.19631647

McLean, E. (2026). The Gauge Group Is D_4. Zenodo. doi:10.5281/zenodo.19147058